**Title**

**Clinical Question:** Add text here

**Reference:** Add Text Here

**PubMed ID:** Add Text Here

|  |
| --- |
| **Population:** Add text here (Include the number and Region) **Intervention:** Add text here (Include dose if appropriate) **Comparison:** Add text here (Include dose if appropriate if no comparison group, then ‘none’) **C:\Users\hymers\Pictures\BEEM.jpgOutcome:** Add text here (include primary outcome)  |

 **Authors Conclusions:**

Add text here

**Quality Checklist:**1. The diagnostic question is clinically relevant with an established criterion standard.

 **Comment:** Add text here
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